Dep+ Ref

2006 APR 12 PM 4:37

IS PATENT & TRADEMARK

Docket No.: 0941-0316P

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Patent Application o | f: |
|----------------------------|----|
| Ming-Dou Ker et al. | |
| | • |
| Application No : 00/044 1 | 71 |

Confirmation No.: 9842

Filed: September 4, 2001

Art Unit: 2815

For: ESD PROTECTION CIRCUIT WITH VERY

Examiner: J. A. Fenty

LOW INPUT CAPACITANCE FOR HIGH-FREQUENCY I/O PORTS

REQUEST FOR REFUND (IMPROPER CHARGE OF DEPOSIT ACCOUNT)

MS 16 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 April 6, 2006

Sir:

I. REFUND REQUEST

This is a request for a refund with respect to the charge to Deposit Account <u>02-2448</u> shown on the statement for the month of January 2006 for the above-identified

| [X] application | | | | | patent | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|------|--------|-----|--------|---------|----------|----|-------|-----|-------|----------|----|--------|
| | | Α | сору | of | the | monthly | statemen | in | which | the | ептог | referred | to | occurs |
| ccon | npanies | this | reques | st. | | | | | | | | | | |

KM/RFG/njp

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

II. FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND REQUESTED

| | • | AMOUNT OF REFUND <u>REQUESTED</u> |
|---|--|---|
| [| filing fee | |
| [| search fee | |
| [| examination fee | |
| [| surcharge for filing the basic filing on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e)) | |
| | and/or | |
| [| surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e)) | · —— |
| | extension of term | |
| | first month | |
| | second month | |
| | third month | |
| | fourth month | · |
| | excess claims | |
| | issue fee | |
| | petition fee | |
| | 2 | KM/RFG/njp |

| Application | No.: 09/944,171 | Docket No.: 0941-0316P | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | patent maintenance fee | | | | | |
| | first maintenance fee | | | | | |
| | second maintenance fee | · ——— | | | | |
| | third maintenance fee | | | | | |
| | patent maintenance fee surcharge | | | | | |
| \boxtimes | Other: Appeal Brief Fee | 500.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED | \$500.00 | | | | |

III. EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ERROR

The Appeal Brief was originally filed March 1, 2004 and the <u>Appeal Brief Fee was paid</u> at that time. A copy of the postcard receipt for March 1, 2004 is enclosed showing receipt of the \$450 Appeal Brief fee (the amount of the Appeal Brief Fee at that time).

The Appeal Brief was lost in the USPTO and the undersigned had to petition to revive the case. The Examiner then said the original Appeal Brief was not in compliance with the rules (which had actually changed in the meantime). The undersigned refiled the Appeal Brief on January 4, 2006 with new appendices. The Appeal Brief fee was not paid because it had been paid on March 1, 2004. The USPTO incorrectly charged Deposit Account 02-2448 for the current Appeal Brief fee of \$500. Since the Appeal Brief fee was originally paid on March 1, 2004, it is requested that the \$500 be refunded to the undersigned.

Application No.: 09/944,171



Docket No.: 0941-0316P

IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by crediting Account No. 02-2448.

We respectfully request that the attached copy of this letter be returned to us with an indication that the credit has been processed.

Dated: April 6, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

M

Joe McKinney Muncy Registration No.: 32,334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s): Copy of Postcard Receipt of March 1, 2004

.

Berry (

٠



IN RE APPLICATION OF

BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS

Ming-Dou KER et al.

Appeal No.:

APPL. NO.:

09/944,171

GROUP:

2815

FILED:

September 4, 2001

EXAMINER: Jesse A. Fenty

CONF .:

9842

FOR:

ESD PROTECTION CIRCUIT WITH VERY LOW INPUT CAPACITANCE FOR HIGH-FREQUENCY I/O PORTS

APPEAL BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANTS: MING-DOU KER ET AL.

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 January 4, 2006

Sir:

This is the Appellants' brief in response to a Final Rejection mailed July 29, 2003 finally rejecting claims 1-17. A copy of the claims appealed are attached as an Appendix. The fee of \$330.00 for filing a brief in support of an appeal under 37 C.F. R. § 1.17(f) is submitted herewith.

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The present application is assigned to Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. as recorded on September 4, 2001 at Reel 102142, Frames 0370 and 0371. No further assignments of this application have been made.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences for this application.

01/06/2006 HALIII 00000043 022448 09944171

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

Adjustment date: 05/01/2006 SDIRETA1 01/06/2006 HALI11 00000043 022448 0994417 01 FC:1402 500.00 CR

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS |
|---|
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES |
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING |
| ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING |
| ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES |
| ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS |
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS |
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT |
| ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY |
| OTHER: |

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.